

Vicarious Liability

Vicarious Liability is an established legal doctrine in tort law that assigns responsibility to one individual for the wrongful acts committed by another, based on their relationship. The essence of vicarious liability lies in its focus on relationships where one party has the right, ability, or duty to control the activities of another.

Relationships and Examples

➤ Principal and Agent

A classic example is seen in the case of **Lloyd v. Grace, Smith & Co.**, where a firm was held liable for the fraudulent acts of its managing clerk. The clerk's actions, though fraudulent, were performed within the scope of his employment duties, thus making the firm vicariously liable.

➤ Partnership

In a partnership, all partners can be held jointly and severally responsible for tortious acts committed by any one of them during the ordinary course of business. This shared liability ensures that victims of torts can seek redress from any or all members of the partnership.

➤ Master and Servant

(Employer-Employee):

A notable case is **Century Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Northern Ireland Road Transport Board**, where an employer was held liable for the negligent acts of an employee who caused damage during the course of his employment. This relationship underscores the breadth of vicarious liability within employment settings.

Course of Employment

An employee's act is considered within the course of employment if it is authorised by the employer or is a wrongful execution of an authorised act.

Independent Contractor vs. Servant

Distinctions are critical here; employers are generally not liable for the torts committed by independent contractors due to the lack of control over how their work is performed. However, liability for employees (servants) is maintained because of the control employers have over their actions.

Important Links for Judiciary Free Resources (Click on Each to Open Respective Pages)

Subject Wise Mains PYQ Solution	Essay for Judiciary
Subject Wise Notes	Legal Doctrines
Landmark Judgements	GS Notes
Weekly Current Affair	Subject Wise Prelims PYQ Solution
Free Answer Writing Course	Judgement Writing
Telegram Link	Youtube Link

Notable Cases

Mersey Docks and Harbour Board v. Coggins & Griffiths (Liverpool) Ltd.

This case highlighted that the determining factor for liability is control over how the work is performed. The decision reinforced the importance of defining who acts as a 'servant' under direct control of the employer.

Smt. Kundan Kaur v. S. Shankar Singh

This case further reinforces the principle of vicarious liability based on the control over the servant's actions. It provided clarity on how deeply the concept of control influences liability judgments.

Vicarious liability remains a fundamental aspect of modern tort law, emphasising accountability and responsibility in professional and commercial relationships. It ensures that entities and individuals in positions of power and authority are motivated to maintain rigorous standards of oversight and conduct within their operations. This doctrine is instrumental in promoting ethical practices and protecting the rights of individuals from the actions of others within a controlled relationship.



FREE RESOURCES

All Resources are Available at
De Facto IAS judiciary
Dedicated website:

www.DeFactoJudiciary.in
Free Answer Writing Course

- Mains(PYQ) Solution
- Concept Notes
- Legal Doctrines
- Prelims(MCQ) Solution
- Subject Wise Notes
- Judgement Writing
- Weekly Current Affair